

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/706,413	11/12/2003	Shane Hunter	GRIHAC P43AUS 6803		
20210 7:	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER		
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J		
FOURTH FLO 500 N. COMM	OR ERCIAL STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			3747		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/706,41	3	HUNTER, SHANE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Marguerite	J. McMahon	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	2.040	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04.	J-948) FO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,413

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the word "exhaust" throughout these claims is confusing and should probably be changed to –blowby--.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tochizawa (6,009,863). Tochizawa shows everything except the system being utilized in a motorcycle and an air filter. Not a conduit 4-5, between a chamber 1 and the intake manifold 2, which includes a tapered portion (see Figures 2 and 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the device in a motorcycle and to employ an air filter since it is conventional to utilize engines in motorcycles and air filters are required elements in engines.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohr et al (6,095,105). Lohr et al show everything except utilizing the device in a motorcycle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3747

art to utilize the device in a motorcycle since it is conventional to utilize engines in motorcycles. See Figure 4, which shows a conduit (below 108), between a chamber 30 and the intake manifold 14, which is wider at the area where the conduit communicated with it and narrower at passages 162, which lead to the combustion chambers of the vee-type engine.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7 and 9-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,413

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER